



आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय,  
Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),  
केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद  
Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad  
जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.  
CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015  
07926305065- टेलिफैक्स 07926305136



DIN:20230164SW000000C1EA

स्पीड पोस्ट

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/2227/2022-APPEAL / 7546 - 50
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-124/2022-23  
दिनांक Date : 15-12-2022 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 20.12.2022  
आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित  
Passed by Shri Akhilesh Kumar, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. GST-06/D-VI/O&A/222/Eklavya/AM/2021-22 दिनांक: 31.03.2022, issued by Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad-North
- घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address

1. Appellant

M/s Eklavya Facilities & Management,  
Prop. Varshaben R. Desai, 30, Jineshwar Society,  
Gitanjalinar, D cabin, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad-380019

2. Respondent

The Deputy/ Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad  
North, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, B D Patel House, Nr. Sardar Patel Statue, Naranpura,  
Ahmedabad - 380014

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :


भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :  
Revision application to Government of India :

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.



(क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ख) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वी समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-  
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद -380004

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellate Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (7) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग" (Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।


For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



ORDER-IN-APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Eklavya Facilities & Management, Prop. Varshaben R. Desai, 30, Jineshwar Society, Gitanjalinar, D cabin, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad – 380019 (hereinafter referred to as “the appellant”) against Order-in-Original No. GST-06/D-VI/O&A/222/Eklavya/AM/2021-22 dated 31.03.2022 (hereinafter referred to as “the impugned order”) passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division VI, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred to as “the adjudicating authority”).

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the appellant was holding Service Tax Registration No. AUFPD3423RSD001. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) for the Financial Year 2015-16, it was noticed that there was a difference of value of service amounting to Rs. 1,21,25,061/- between the gross value of service provided in the said data and the gross value of service shown in Service Tax return filed by the appellant for the said period. Accordingly, it appeared that the appellant had earned the said substantial income by way of providing taxable services but not paid the applicable service tax thereon. The appellant was called upon to submit clarification for difference along with supporting documents, for the said period. However, the appellant had not responded to the letters issued by the department.

2.1 Subsequently, the appellant was issued a Show Cause Notice No. CGST-06/04-706/O&A/Eklavya/2020-21 dated 23.12.2020 demanding Service Tax amounting to Rs. 16,91,681/- for the period FY 2015-16, under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994. The SCN also proposed recovery of interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994; and imposition of penalties under Section 76, Section 77 & Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994.

2.2 The Show Cause Notice was adjudicated, ex-parte, vide the impugned order by the adjudicating authority wherein the demand of Service Tax amounting to Rs. 16,91,681/- was confirmed under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 along with Interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994 for the period from FY 2015-16. Further, Penalty of Rs. 16,91,681/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994 and Penalty of Rs. 10,000/- was also imposed on the appellant under Section 77 of the Finance Act, 1994.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:

- Appellant is engaged in providing manpower recruitment services to many parties. Since the said service was taxable on reverse charge basis, under service tax laws, the appellant had not obtained service tax registration nor paid any tax or filed any return.




- The impugned order is incorrect and not tenable. The demand is made on presumption, without any verification of facts or investigation. Mere income does not invite service tax liability. The receipt of data could be the starting point of investigation but cannot be the basis for demand and presumption.
- The appellant was engaged in providing manpower services to various units including factories. The appellant has enclosed statement of parties to whom the services of manpower was provided. The appellant has also enclosed copies of invoices raised on all their parties.
- It can be seen that the rate of charges, charged by the appellant was on work done basis. However, this was only method to calculate the charge raised. The service was that of supply of man power. This was so treated by appellant as well as by all its clients. In fact the service tax was paid by all the clients, on reverse charge basis as per Notification No. 30/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012. The appellant has enclosed certificates from all their clients to that effect.
- The demand is for the period 2015-16 and the notice is issued on 23.12.2020. The tax was not payable by appellant. This was settled by clear provision of law. The tax on reverse charge was paid by the clients. In such situation there is no suppression or concealment. There was no obligation on appellant to make any declaration. The longer period of limitation was therefore not available to the department. The demand is therefore barred by limitation.
- Since, the demand is not maintainable, question of interest or penalty does not arise. The demand is also barred by limitation and hence no penalty under Section 78 could be imposed.

4. Personal hearing in the case was held on 18.01.2023. Shri S. J. Vyas, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the appellant for personal hearing. He reiterated submission made in appeal memorandum.

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum and documents available on record. The issue to be decided in the present appeal is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, confirming the demand against the appellant along with interest and penalty, in the facts and circumstance of the case is legal and proper or otherwise. The demand pertains to the period FY 2015-16.

6. I find that in the SCN in question, the demand has been raised for the period FY 2015-16 based on the Income Tax Returns filed by the appellant. Except for the value of "Sales of Services under Sales / Gross Receipts from Services" provided by the Income Tax Department, other cogent reason or justification is forthcoming from the SCN for raising the demand



against the appellant. It is also not specified as to under which category of service the non-levy of service tax is alleged against the appellant. Merely because the appellant had reported receipts from services, the same cannot form the basis for arriving at the conclusion that the respondent was liable to pay service tax, which was not paid by them. In this regard, I find that CBIC had, vide Instruction dated 26.10.2021, directed that:

*"It was further reiterated that demand notices may not be issued indiscriminately based on the difference between the ITR-TDS taxable value and the taxable value in Service Tax Returns.*

*3. It is once again reiterated that instructions of the Board to issue show cause notices based on the difference in ITR-TDS data and service tax returns only after proper verification of facts, may be followed diligently. Pr. Chief Commissioner /Chief Commissioner(s) may devise a suitable mechanism to monitor and prevent issue of indiscriminate show cause notices. Needless to mention that in all such cases where the notices have already been issued, adjudicating authorities are expected to pass a judicious order after proper appreciation of facts and submission of the noticee."*


6.1 In the present case, I find that letters were issued to the appellant seeking details and documents, which were allegedly not submitted by them. However, without any further inquiry or investigation, the SCN has been issued only on the basis of details received from the Income Tax department, without even specifying the category of service in respect of which service tax is sought to be levied and collected. This, in my considered view, is not a proper ground for raising of demand of service tax and specially in case when the appellant is registered with the Service Tax department and had filed ST-3 Returns.

7. I find that the main contention of the appellant are that they have provided Manpower Supply Service to their clients and the Service Tax was paid by their clients, under Reverse Charge Basis as per Notification No. 30/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012. I also find that the appellant has enclosed certificates from their clients, as listed below, to that effect.

- a) Knack Packaging Pvt. Ltd.
- b) Lancer Fab Tech Pvt. Ltd.
- c) Pooja Products Pvt. Ltd.
- d) R.B.D. Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
- e) Sun Electro Gravures Pvt. Ltd.

8. For ease of reference, I reproduce the relevant provision for reverse charge mechanism as provided under Notification No. 30/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012 as amended, which reads as under:

*Notification No. 30/2012-Service Tax dated 20.6.2012, as amended vide Notification No. 01/2015-ST dated 01.03.2015*



Table

Sl. No.	Description of a service	Percentage of service tax payable by the person providing service	Percentage of service tax payable by any person liable for paying service Tax other than the service provider
8.	in respect of services provided or agreed to be provided by way of supply of manpower for any purpose or security services	NIL	100%


8.1 In view of the above provision of the Notification No. 30/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012 and on verification of the Invoices issued by the appellant and Certificates issued by the various service recipients, I find that the appellant is the Proprietor of the appellant firm and the service recipients are Body Corporate. As the appellant had provided manpower services to their clients and the service recipients are required to be paid applicable Service Tax under Reverse Charge Mechanism, as per the provisions of Notification No. 30/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012 as amended, and the appellant is not liable to paid any Service Tax, as confirmed in the impugned order.

9. In view of above, I hold that the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority in respect of income received by the appellant for providing Manpower Supply Service during the FY 2015-16, is not legal and proper and deserves to be set aside. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant. Since the demand of service tax is not sustainable on merits, there does not arise any question of charging interest or imposing penalty in the case.

10. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant.


11. अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है ।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

  
20 January 2023  
(Akhilesh Kuptar)  
Commissioner (Appeals)

Date : 20.01.2023

Attested

  
Superintendent(Appeals),  
CGST, Ahmedabad

By RPAD / SPEED POST

To,  
M/s. Eklavya Facilities & Management,



Appellant

Prop. Varshaben R. Desai,  
30, Jineshwar Society,  
Gitanjalinagar, D cabin,  
Sabarmati, Ahmedabad – 380019

Respondent

The Assistant Commissioner,  
CGST, Division-VI,  
Ahmedabad North

Copy to :

- 1) The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2) The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North
- 3) The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division VI, Ahmedabad North
- 4) The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad North

(for uploading the OIA)

~~5) Guard File~~

6) PA file

