

# आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय, Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad

जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५. CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 07926305065-

टेलेफैक्स07926305136



## DIN:20230364SW000000E5BC

## स्पीड पोस्ट

- फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/2229/2022-APPEAL /9 33 क भ \
- अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-183/2022-23 दिनाँक Date: 06-03-2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 10.03.2023 आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित Passed by Shri Akhilesh Kumar, Commissioner (Appeals)
- Arising out of Order-in-Original No. MP/53/dem/AC/21-22/HNM दिनाँक: 31.03.2022, ग issued by Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Division-II, CGST, Ahmedabad-North
- अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address
  - 1. Appellant

M/s Deep Security Force, Nr. Ramji Mandir, Outside Dariyapur Gate, Madhupura, Ahmedabad-380001

2. Respondent The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-II, Ahmedabad North, 3rd Floor, Sahjanand Arcade, Opp. Helmet Circle, Memnagar, Ahmedabad – 52.

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

## भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन Revision application to Government of India:

- केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूवोक्त धारा को उप–धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :
- यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में (ii) या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ख) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपन्न संख्या इए—8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6, वालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35—बी / 35—इ के अंतर्गत:— Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद २ (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, इंदर् एवं सेक् अनुह्नमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन ,असरवा ,गिरधरनागर,अहमदाबाद —380004

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup> floor,Bahumali Bhawan,Asarwa,Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथारिथित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-litem of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(7) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.
- ⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती हैं।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

### ORDER-IN-APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Deep Security Force, Nr. Ramji Mandir, Outside Dariyapur Gate, Madhupura, Ahmedabad – 380001 (hereinafter referred to as "the appellant") against Order-in-Original No. MP/53/Dem/AC/21-22/HNM dated 31.03.2022 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division II, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

Briefly stated the facts of the case are that the appellant are engaged in providing Security Services and are holding Service Tax Registration No. AFAPD9171QST001. During the course of audit of the financial records of the appellant, for the period from October-2014 to June-2017, conducted by the officers of the Central GST, Audit Commissionerate, Ahmedabad, the following observation was raised in Final Audit Report No. CE/ST-68/2020-21 dated 24.08.2020.

Revenue Para 1: Short payment of service tax due to difference in revenue reconciliation: The reconciliation of the income shown in their Financial statements / 26 AS statements and those shown in their ST-3 Returns for the same period was undertaken. It was noticed that there was a difference in income shown by the appellant in their financial records / 26AS form and ST-3 Returns. There is difference in showing receipts of the income for the period October-2014 to June-2017, and therefore, there is service tax not paid / short paid amounting to Rs. 36,11,117/-.

- 2.2 The above observation were not accepted by the appellant. Hence, a SCN No. 21/20-21 dated 09.09.2020, issued from F.No. VI/1(b)-235/C-V/AP-29/19-20, was issued to the appellant proposing demand of Service Tax amount of Rs. 36,11,117/- in terms of proviso of Section 73(1) of the Finance Act, 1994 along with interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994 and proposing penalty under Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994.
- 2.3 The said SCN was adjudicated vide impugned order wherein the demand of Rs. 36,11,117/- proposed in SCN was confirmed under the provision of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 along with interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994 and penalty of Rs. 36,11,117/- was also imposed on the appellant under Section 78(1) of the Finance Act, 1994.
- 3. Being aggrieved with impugned order, the appellant have filed the present appeal on 01.07.2022 under Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994.

- 4. On going through the appeal memorandum, I find that the impugned order was issued on 31.03.2022 and the same was received by the appellant on 20.04.2022. The present appeal, in terms of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994, was filed on 01.07.2022. The appeal was filed without any application for condonation of delay stating that the appeal was required to be filed within three months from the date of communication of the impugned order, thus, the appeal is filed within the time limit prescribed for filing of appeal.
- 5. Personal hearing in the matter of delayed filing of appeal have been fixed on 25.11.2022, 12.12.2022, 18.01.2023 & 15.02.2023. However, neither the appellant nor any Authorized person, appeared on behalf of the appellant for personal hearing. It is observed that the appellant, instead of appearing for personal hearing and submitting reasons for delay in filing of appeal, vide e-mail dated 15.02.2023, submitted as under:

"In this connection, it is submitted that the order in original was communicated on 20.04.2022. On going through the preamble of the said OIO, it is noticed that the appeal was required to be filed within 3 months from the date of such, we did not file any application for condonation of delay."

- should be filed within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of the decision or order passed by the adjudicating authority. I find that the appellant is registered assessee with Service Tax department. They are required to be aware of the provisions of the Finance Act, 1994 and cannot take shelter of the clerical mistake appearing in the preamble of the impugned order. I find that ignorance of law is not an excuse. I also find that when the personal hearing has been fixed in the matter of delayed filing of appeal, the appellant have not come forward with the reasons for delay in filing the appeal and taken shelter of the clerical mistake appearing in the preamble of the impugned order by stating that the appeal was required to be filed within 3 months from the date of receipt of the impugned order. Thus, I find that, by ignoring the correct legal provision that as per the provision of the Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994, the appeal is required to be filed within a period of 2 months, the approach of the appellant is not correct by taking shelter of the clerical mistake and by not filing application of condonation of day also, in back drop of the circumstances that the appellant is registered assessee with Service Tax and are required to be aware of the provisions of the Finance Act, 1994.
- 7. Further, under the proviso appended to sub-section (3A) of Section 85 of the Act, the Commissioner (Appeals) is empowered to condone the delay or to allow the filing of an appeal within a further period of one month thereafter, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal with in the period of two months. Relevant text of Section 85 is reproduced below:

dy

"SECTION 85. Appeals to the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals).—

- (1) Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed by an adjudicating authority subordinate to the Principal Commissioner of Central Excise or Commissioner of Central Excise may appeal to the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals).
- (2) Every appeal shall be in the prescribed form and shall be verified in the prescribed manner.
- (3) An appeal shall be presented within three months from the date of receipt of the decision or order of such adjudicating authority, relating to service tax, interest or penalty under this Chapter, made before the date on which the Finance Bill, 2012, receives the assent of the President:

Provided that the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals) may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months, allow it to be presented within a further period of three months.

(3A) An appeal shall be presented within two months from the date of receipt of the decision or order of such adjudicating authority, made on and after the Finance Bill, 2012 receives the assent of the President, relating to service tax, interest or penalty under this Chapter:

Provided that the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals) may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of two months, allow it to be presented within a further period of one month."

- 8. I find that in terms of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994, the limitation period of two months for filing the appeal in the present cases starts from 20.04.2022 and the appellant were required to file the appeal on or before 19.06.2022. However, the appeal was filed on 01.07.2022 that too without any application for condonation of delay showing sufficient cause for such delay. The appellant have in their forwarding of appeal memorandum, taking shelter of the clerical mistake in the preamble of the impugned order, stated that the appeal was required to be filed within three months from the date of communication of the impugned order, therefore, the appeal is filed within the time limit prescribed for filing of appeal.
- 9. It appears that legal provisions relating to condonation of delay was taken very casually by the appellant and it has been presumed that condonation of delay will be granted as a matter of right without filing any application for condonation of delay. The appellant was granted opportunity for personal hearing to explain their case. However, they did not appear for hearing.
- 10. I find that the appellant, in the facts and circumstances discussed above, has not filed the present appeal within prescribed time limit and also not filed any application for condonation of delay. Accordingly, in my considered view, the appeal filed by the appellant is required to be rejected on the grounds of limitation.

- 11. In view of the above discussion and well settled law, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I reject the appeal filed by the appellant on the grounds of limitation.
- 12. अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है ।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

(Akhilesh Kumar)
Commissioner (Appeals)

Attested

Date: 06.03.2023

(R. C. Maniyar)

Superintendent(Appeals), CGST, Ahmedabad

#### By RPAD / SPEED POST

To,

M/s. Deep Security Force,

Appellant

Nr. Ramji Mandir,

Outside Dariyapur Gate,

Madhupura, Ahmedabad - 380001

The Assistant Commissioner,

Respondent

CGST, Division-II,

Ahmedabad North

#### Copy to:

- 1) The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2) The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North
- 3) The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division II, Ahmedabad North
- 4) The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad North

(for uploading the OIA)

- 9 5) Guard File
  - 6) PA file