



सत्यमेव जयते

**आयुक्त ( अपील ) का कार्यालय,**  
**Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),**  
 केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद  
**Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad**  
 जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.  
 CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015  
 07926305065- टेलिफैक्स 07926305136



DIN: 20221264SW0000333B2B

स्पीड पोस्ट

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/2342/2021-APPEAL / 5638-111
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-77/2022-23  
 दिनांक Date : 08-12-2022 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 12.12.2022  
 आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित  
 Passed by **Shri Akhilesh Kumar**, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. 15/JC/MT/2021-22 दिनांक: 23.07.2021, issued by  
 Joint Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad-North
- घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address

1. Appellant

M/s. Art Nirman Ltd.,  
 Club Babylon, S.P. Ring Road,  
 Nr. Science City, Bhadaj,  
 Ahmedabad

2. Respondent

The Joint Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North, Custom House, 1<sup>st</sup>  
 Floor, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad - 380009

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :


भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :  
**Revision application to Government of India :**

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.



(क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ख) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-  
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद -380004

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellate Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (7) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग" (Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।


For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35.C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



**ORDER IN APPEAL.**

M/s. Art Nirman Ltd., present address at Club Babylon, S.P. Ring Road, Nr. Science City, Bhadaj, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as '*the appellant*') have filed the instant appeal against the OIO No. 15/JC/MT/2021-22 dated 23.07.2021 (in short '*impugned order*') passed by the Joint Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred to as '*the adjudicating authority*').

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the Directorate General of Central Excise Intelligence, Ahmedabad Zonal Unit ("DGGI" in short) had gathered intelligence that the appellant had provided Works Contract service to M/s. Dhara Developers, Ahmedabad, and received consideration thereon, on which they had not paid service tax properly. Based on the above intelligence, investigation was initiated and under search proceedings certain documents were withdrawn under Panchnama dated 11.10.2018. It was found the appellant had provided completion and finishing services like plastering, tiles fittings, painting, electricity activity, plumbing, door and windows fitting to M/s. Dhara Developers and charged Rs.14,06,30,808/- (inclusive of ST) under Works Contract service. Further investigation revealed that on said consideration, they paid service tax @ 5.6% after taking 60% abatement on the value, by considering the work as 'original work' under Rule 2A (ii) (A) of Service Tax (Determination of Value) Rules, 2006. However, in terms of Rule 2A (ii) (B) of Service Tax (Determination of Value) Rules, 2006, service tax shall be payable on 70% of the amount charged for the works contract entered into for completion and finishing services.

2.1 Scrutiny of documents revealed that the appellant had received gross consideration of Rs.13,26,70,574/- and collected service tax of Rs.79,60,234/- from their service receiver for providing taxable service during the F.Y. 2016-17 by taking wrong abatement of 60% on the taxable value under Rule 2A(ii)(A) of Service Tax (Determination of Value) Rules, 2006 and paid service tax on remaining 40% of the taxable value instead of paying tax on 70% of the taxable value in terms of Rule 2A (ii)(B) of Service Tax (Determination of Value) Rules, 2006. Thus, they evaded and short paid service tax payment of Rs.59,70,176/-.

2.2 A Show Cause Notice (SCN) No. DGCEI/AZU/36-28/2020-21 dated 24.08.2020 was, therefore, issued proposing recovery of service tax demand to the tune of Rs.59,70,176/- alongwith interest under Section 73(1) & 75 of the Finance Act, 1994, respectively. Imposition of penalty under Section 76, 77 & 78 of the Finance Act, 1994 was also proposed.

2.3 The said SCN was adjudicated vide the impugned order, confirming the demand alongwith interest and imposing penalty under Section 77 & 78 of the Finance Act, 1994. No penalty was imposed u/s 76 of the Act *ibid*.

3. Being aggrieved by the impugned order, the appellant has preferred the present appeal. On scrutiny of the appeal papers filed by the appellant on 27.09.2021, it was noticed that they had submitted Form DRC-03 as proof of pre-deposit of Rs.4,47,763/- made in terms of Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944.

4. Board consequent to the rollout of the integrated CBIC-GST Portal, vide Circular No.1070/3/2019-CX dated 24.06.2019 directed that from 1st July, 2019 onwards, a new revised procedure has to be followed by the taxpayers for making arrears of Central Excise & Service Tax payments through portal "CBIC (ICEGATE) E-payment". Thereafter, CBIC vide Instruction dated 28.10.2022, issued vide F.No.CBIC-240137/14/2022-Service Tax Section-CBEC, also instructed that the payments made through DRC-03 under CGST regime is not a valid mode of payment for making pre-deposits under Section 35F of the CEA 1944 and Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994.



5. In terms of Section 35F of the Central Excise Act read with Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal shall not be entertained unless the appellant deposits 7.5% of the duty in case where duty and penalty are in dispute or 7.5% of penalty where such penalty is in dispute. Relevant legal provisions are reproduced below:-

**SECTION 35F: Deposit of certain percentage of duty demanded or penalty imposed before filing appeal.** — *The Tribunal or the Commissioner (Appeals), as the case may be, shall not entertain any appeal —*

(i) *under sub-section (1) of section 35, unless the appellant has deposited seven and a half per cent. of the duty, in case where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where such penalty is in dispute, in pursuance of a decision or an order passed by an officer of Central Excise lower in rank than the [Principal Commissioner of Central Excise or Commissioner of Central Excise];*

6. The appellant was, therefore, called upon vide letter dated 02.11.2022 to make the pre-deposit in terms of Board's Circular No.1070/3/2019-CX dated 24.06.2019 and submit the document evidencing payment within 10 days of the receipt of this letter. They were also informed that failure to submit proof of pre-deposit would result in dismissal of the appeal for non-compliance in terms of Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944.

7. Personal hearing in the matter was thereafter held on 23.11.2022. Shri Deep Thakkar, Chartered Accountant, appeared on behalf of the appellant. He re-reiterated the submissions made in the appeal memorandum. He, however, failed to submit the payment proof as sought vide letter dated 02.11.2022.

8. The Commissioner (A) shall not entertain any appeal unless the appellant has deposited 7.5% of the duty (where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute) or 7.5% of penalty (where the penalty is in dispute) under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944. In terms of Board Instruction dated 28.10.2022, I find, that the pre-deposit made vide DRC-03 was invalid payment. Though sufficient time was granted to the appellant to make the revised payment in terms of Circular No.1070/3/2019-CX dated 24.06.2019, they failed to furnish proof of revised payment of pre-deposit of 7.5% of the duty made. I, therefore, dismiss the appeal filed by the appellant for non-compliance of the provisions of Section- 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944.

9. In view of the above, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed for non-compliance of the provisions of Section- 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।  
The appeal filed by the appellant stand disposed off in above terms.

*Amur*  
20 December 2022  
(अखिलेश कुमार)  
आयुक्त (अपील्स)


Date: 12.2022

Attested

*Rekha A. Nair*

(Rekha A. Nair)  
Superintendent (Appeals)  
CGST, Ahmedabad

By RPAD/SPEED POST



To,  
M/s. Art Nirman Ltd.,  
Club Babylon,  
S.P. Ring Road,  
Nr. Science City, Bhadaj,  
Ahmedabad

**Appellant**

The Joint Commissioner  
CGST, Ahmedabad North,  
Ahmedabad

**Respondent**

**Copy to:**

1. The Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone.
2. The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North.
3. The Assistant Commissioner (H.Q. System), CGST, Ahmedabad North.

(For uploading the OIA)

4. Guard File.
5. The Superintendent (System), CGST, Appeals, Ahmedabad, for uploading the OIA on the website.

